arXiv:1704.00394v1 [cond-mat.soft] 3 Apr 2017

A growing length-scale in supercooled liquids: Cluster formation induced by local densification

Akira Furukawa*
Institute of Industrial Science, University of Tokyo, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8505, Japan
(Dated: April 4, 2017)

A model for describing a growing length-scale near the glass transition point is introduced. We assume that, in a subsystem whose density is above a certain threshold value, ρ_c, owing to topological restrictions, particle rearrangements are highly suppressed (i.e., almost frozen) for a certain long time-period. We regard such a subsystem as a (glassy) cluster. With this assumption and without introducing any complicated thermodynamic arguments, we can simply construct a phenomenological model by considering the usual equilibrium density fluctuations. We predict that with compression (increasing the average density ρ) at a fixed temperature T in supercooled states, the characteristic length of the clusters, ξ, diverges as $\xi \sim (\rho_c - \rho)^{-2/d}$, where d is the spatial dimensionality. Additionally, with decreasing T at a fixed ρ, the length-scale diverges in the same manner as $\xi \sim (T - T_c)^{-2/d}$, for which ρ is identical to ρ_c at $T = T_c$. The exponent describing the diverging length-scale is the same as the one predicted by some theoretical models and indeed has been observed in some simulations and experiments. However, the basic mechanism for this divergence is different. We further argue the cooperative properties of the structural relaxation based on the clusters.

PACS numbers: 64.70.pm, 64.70.Q-

I. INTRODUCTION

As a supercooled liquid approaches the glass transition point, the structural relaxation slows dramatically, and the viscosity increases steeply. The origin of this viscous slowdown remains the central issue in glass physics [1–10]. The general dynamic features of the glass transition process appear to be as follows:

(1) In the normal liquid regime far above the glass transition point, particle motions and the resultant structural relaxation dynamics are spatially uncorrelated.

(2) By increasing the density ρ at a fixed temperature T (decreasing T at a fixed ρ), a crossover from the normal to supercooled state occurs gradually around a certain density ρ_0 (temperature T_0). For $\rho \gtrsim \rho_0$ ($T \lesssim T_0$), the motion of a particle is hindered by its neighbors, which is the so-called caging. Then, in the supercooled liquid regime, structural rearrangements occur cooperatively; the more glassy the system is, the slower and more cooperative the dynamics, and the larger the cooperative or correlation length [7–10].

(3) With further compression (cooling), the system is vitrified at the glass transition density ρ_g (glass transition temperature T_g), where the rearrangement motions are almost frozen.

Following the seminal work of Adam and Gibbs [11], many theoretical and numerical efforts have been attempted to elucidate the underlying mechanism of the correlation or cooperative structural rearrangements, in recent years, particularly from thermodynamic perspectives (see reviews [7–10]). Although such approaches are appealing, it is an open question whether, to understand the essence of the glass transition, it is necessary to invoke some complicated nonlinearities or thermodynamic couplings, if any, in a still-unknown thermodynamic potential. In other words, it is unclear whether the correlation and the glass transition are intrinsically related to thermodynamic anomalies.

As described above, the density ρ can be regarded as an important control variable in the glass transition. In a glass-forming liquid near the glass transition point, a small change in the *macroscopic* average density determines the *macroscopic* glass transition. Simultaneously, the *local* density itself fluctuates in space (and time): even a slightly higher *local* density should make the *local* topological constraints more severe and thus determine the *local* glassy nature. Recent simulations [12, 13] have shown an intimate link between the local density and the particle mobility, which supports this intuitive picture. Based on this perspective, we propose a simple model for describing the growing length-scale accompanying the glass transition with a concept of clusters and without introducing any complicated thermodynamic arguments; namely, the local glassy nature (topological constraints) may be simply controlled by the local density *on average*, which eventually determines the glass transition and the associated correlation [14].

*Electronic address: furu@iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp

II. MODEL AND ANALYSIS

Some details of the key assumptions for our model are as follows:

(1) *Cluster formation induced by local densification*: We assume that in a higher-density subsystem, in which the average density is above a certain threshold value, $\rho_{\rm c}$, particle rearrangements are strongly obstructed owing to stronger topological restrictions[1]. Henceforth, such a subsystem is called a (glassy) cluster. More specifically, the topological constraints are assumed to be characterized by the local density, and once a cluster is formed, density fluctuations "inside" the cluster are transiently frozen (kinetically arrested) for a sufficiently long time period, except that small thermal vibrations are allowed. We assume that $\rho_{\rm c}$ is close to but slightly larger than the macroscopic glass transition density $\rho_{\rm g}$.

(2) *No thermodynamic anomaly in density fluctuations*: It is well known that even in deeply supercooled states, density fluctuations hardly show thermodynamic anomalies. Here, it is reasonable to assume that the density fluctuations simply obey Gaussian statistics.

With the assumptions (1) and (2), it is straightforward to provide a picture of the growing length-scale in supercooled liquids as follows.

Let us consider the situation in which the glass transition point is approached by compression (increasing the macroscopic average density ρ to $\rho_{\rm g}$) at a fixed temperature T. We then consider a subsystem with linear dimension ℓ and volume $V_\ell (= \ell^d)$, where d is the spatial dimension. The density, ρ_ℓ, averaged over the subsystem is given by

$$\rho_\ell = \rho + \frac{1}{V_\ell}\int_{V_\ell} d\boldsymbol{r}\delta\rho(\boldsymbol{r}), \tag{1}$$

where $\delta\rho(\boldsymbol{r})$ is the (local) density fluctuation at position $\boldsymbol{r}$ from the average ρ. Because we now assume that $\delta\rho(\boldsymbol{r})$ obeys Gaussian statistics in thermal equilibrium, a fluctuation of ρ_ℓ is as follows:

$$K\left\langle \left(\frac{\rho_\ell - \rho}{\rho}\right)^2 \right\rangle \ell^d \cong T, \tag{2}$$

where the temperature T is measured in units of Boltzmann's constant and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the ensemble average. Here, K is the bulk modulus (inverse of the compressibility). If we use the time-averaged density for the time interval δt ($\tau_0 \ll \delta t \ll \tau_\alpha$) to exclude the effects of short-term vibration, K should be replaced by the modulus for the time-averaged density, $\bar{K}$. However, for this qualitative study, the difference between K and $\bar{K}$ does not matter. In the following argument, taking only the fluctuation contribution to the leading order, we ignore the effect of density fluctuations on K. From Eq. (2), we obtain

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{\rho_\ell - \rho}{\rho}\right)^2 \right\rangle \cong \left(\frac{a}{\ell}\right)^d, \tag{3}$$

where $a = (T/K)^{1/d}$ is the microscopic length-scale. At $\langle(\rho_\ell - \rho)^2\rangle \cong (\rho_{\rm c} - \rho)^2$, we can find a significant population of subsystems for which ρ_ℓ exceeds the threshold value $\rho_{\rm c}$ (see Fig. 1 for schematic); then, the size of such subsystems, ξ, is given by

$$\xi = a\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{\rm c} - \rho}\right)^{2/d}. \tag{4}$$

This ξ gives the characteristic size of the glassy clusters[2]; see below for further discussion on this point. We emphasize that ξ is not the static correlation length of the density fluctuations[3].

For smaller ρ, from Eq. (4), ξ is smaller. There should be a minimum size of ξ below which the concepts of density and cooperativity (or coherence) are no longer relevant. We set this lower bound value of ξ to ξ_0, which may be

---

[1] Although $\rho_{\rm c}$ may fluctuate from cluster to cluster, the fluctuations of $\rho_{\rm c}$ should be sufficiently smaller than those of ρ_ℓ for $\ell \lesssim \xi$. Therefore, we treat $\rho_{\rm c}$ as a constant parameter.

[2] In supercooled Lennard-Jones (or similar model) liquids, a is estimaed to be several 0.1 (measured in units of the particle size). Thus, when $\rho/(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho)$ is $10 - 100$, ξ is approximately $1 - 5$, which seems to be reasonable.

[3] At smaller length-scales, the density fluctuations are rather messy: Because the correlation length of the density fluctuations remains almost unchanged, there may be spots or regions with lower packing inside the glassy cluster. However, the induced topological constraints, "on the whole", are supposed to be enough to block the rearrangement motions in the cluster.



FIG. 1: (Color online) The upper panels illustrate the probability distribution of ρ_ℓ for different ℓ. The lower panels are the corresponding real space illustrations: In (a)/(c), the subsystem (red dashed box) is too small/large to correctly identify the glassy cluster (gray region).

comparable to the cage size ($\sim$ several particle sizes). In this context, the onset of cooperativity at $\rho \cong \rho_0$ is identified as an emergence of glassy clusters of the size ξ_0 with a significant volume fraction.

Next, let us refine this estimate by considering the cluster-size distribution. It is a convenient simplification, without loss of generality, to consider a discrete sequence of sizes (volumes) $\xi_{(n)}$ ($\xi_{(n)}^d$), $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, of the glassy clusters as follows[4]. In this discretization scheme, $\xi_{(1)}$ is defined as

$$\xi_{(1)} = \Lambda\xi, \tag{5}$$

where Λ is a constant of order unity and controls the fineness of the discretization (finer for larger Λ). According to Eqs. (3) and (4), for a subsystem with linear dimension $\xi_{(1)}$, we may define the probability distribution of $\rho_{\xi_{(1)}}$ as

$$P(\rho_{\xi_{(1)}}) = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda^d}{2\pi(\rho_c - \rho)^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\Lambda^d}{2}\left(\frac{\delta\rho_{\xi_{(1)}}}{\rho_c - \rho}\right)^2\right], \tag{6}$$

where $\delta\rho_{\xi_{(1)}} = \rho_{\xi_{(1)}} - \rho$. The probability that a given subsystem of size $\xi_{(1)}$ is a glassy cluster ($\rho_{\xi_{(1)}} > \rho_c$) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(1)} &= \phi = \int_{\rho_c-\rho}^{\infty} d(\delta\rho_{\xi_{(1)}}) P(\rho_{\xi_{(1)}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} dx \exp(-x^2), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $x_0 = \sqrt{\Lambda^d/2}$. Therefore, we can find $1/(\phi\xi_{(1)}^3)$ clusters in a unit volume. The density averaged over the cluster regions, $\rho_+^{(1)}$, is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+^{(1)} &= \rho + \frac{1}{\phi} \int_{\rho_c-\rho}^{\infty} d(\delta\rho_{\xi_{(1)}}) \delta\rho_{\xi_{(1)}} P(\rho_{\xi_{(1)}}) \\ &= \rho + \lambda(\rho_c - \rho), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\phi x_0} \exp(-x_0^2)$. On the other hand, in the remaining space, $\mathcal{V}_-^{(1)}$ (see Fig. 2(a) for schematic), the average density is given by

$$\rho_-^{(1)} = \frac{1}{1-\phi}\rho - \frac{\phi}{1-\phi}\rho_+^{(1)} = \rho_c - \nu(\rho_c - \rho), \tag{9}$$

where $\nu = (1 - \phi + \lambda\phi)/(1 - \phi)$. Note that although the values of ϕ, λ, and ν depend on the details of the discretization

[4] Although the clusters are randomly generated, we should identify clusters from larger ones (see Fig. 1 for schematic)



FIG. 2: Schematic of the cluster distribution: (a) Left panel: Clusters of size of $\xi_{(1)}$ are shown by the dark-gray regions, where the average density $\rho_{\xi_{(1)}}$ is larger than $\rho_{\rm c}$. Right panel: In the remaining space, $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(1)}$, the average density is $\rho_{-}^{(1)} = \rho_{\rm c} - \nu(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho)$. (b) Left panel: For $\rho_{-}^{(1)} > \rho_0$, we can find smaller clusters of the size $\xi_{(2)} = \nu^{-2/d}\xi_{(1)} (> \xi_0)$ in $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(1)}$, which are represented by the dark-gray regions. Right panel: $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(2)}$ is defined to be the space exterior to the clusters of the first and second steps. In $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(2)}$, the average density is given by $\rho_{-}^{(2)} \cong \rho_{\rm c} - \nu^2(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho)$. If $\rho_{-}^{(2)} > \rho_0$, in $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(2)}$, further smaller clusters can be found.

scheme employed here (for example $\phi \cong 0.16$, $\lambda \cong 1.53$, and $\nu \cong 1.29$ for $\Lambda = 1$), the final conclusion does not depend on these values. For $\rho \cong \rho_0$, $\rho_{-}^{(1)}$ is significantly smaller than ρ_0; thus, in $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(1)}$, almost uncorrelated motions of particles should occur as in normal liquid states. However, for sufficiently large ρ, this $\rho_{-}^{(1)}$ can be significantly larger than ρ_0. In such a case, in $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(1)}$, we can find smaller clusters of size $\xi_{(2)} (< \xi_{(1)})$ with a density larger than $\rho_{\rm c}$. Similarly to Eq. (5), $\xi_{(2)}$ may be defined as

$$\xi_{(2)} = a\Lambda \left(\frac{\rho_{-}^{(1)}}{\rho_{\rm c} - \rho_{-}^{(1)}} \right)^{2/d} \cong \nu^{-2/d} \xi_{(1)}. \tag{10}$$

In Eq. (10) and subsequently, we keep only the leading-order term in $(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho)$. In $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(1)}$, a density averaged over a subsystem with the linear size $\xi_{(2)}$, $\rho_{\xi_{(2)}}$, has the following distribution:

$$P(\rho_{\xi_{(2)}}) = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda^d}{2\pi(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho_{-}^{(1)})^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\Lambda^d}{2} \left(\frac{\delta\rho_{\xi_{(2)}}}{\rho_{\rm c} - \rho_{-}^{(1)}} \right)^2 \right], \tag{11}$$

where $\delta\rho_{\xi_{(2)}} = \rho_{\xi_{(2)}} - \rho_{-}^{(1)}$. Then, we can find $1/(\phi\xi_{(2)}^3)$ clusters of size $\xi_{(2)}$ per unit volume in $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(1)}$. Similar to Eq. (9), in the space except for the clusters of the first and second steps, $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(2)}$ (see Fig. 2(b) for schematic), the average density is given by

$$\rho_{-}^{(2)} \ \cong \ \rho_{\rm c} - \nu(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho_{-}^{(1)}) = \rho_{\rm c} - \nu^2(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho), \tag{12}$$

If $\rho_{-}^{(2)} < \rho_0$, in $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(2)}$, the rearrangement dynamics proceed by almost independent particle motions, as in normal liquid states. However, if $\rho_{-}^{(2)}$ is significantly larger than ρ_0, further smaller clusters can be found. In this discretization scheme, at the n-th step, the size of the clusters, $\xi_{(n)}$, and the average density in $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(n)}$, which is defined to be the

space exterior to the clusters from the first to the n-th step, $\rho_{-}^{(n)}$, can be described by[5]

$$\xi_{(n)} \cong \nu^{-2(n-1)/d}\xi_{(1)}, \tag{13}$$

and

$$\rho_{-}^{(n)} \cong \rho_{\rm c} - \nu^{n}(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho) \ \ \text{in} \ \ \mathcal{V}_{-}^{(n)}, \tag{14}$$

respectively. When $\rho_{-}^{(n)} \cong \rho_0$ in $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(n)}$, which leads to $\xi_{(n)} \sim \xi_0$, the particles move almost independently, as in normal liquid states, for which we set $n = \mathcal{N}$:

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{\ln \nu} \ln\left(\frac{\rho_{\rm c} - \rho_0}{\rho_{\rm c} - \rho}\right). \tag{15}$$

The volume fraction of the n-th step clusters is $\phi(1-\phi)^{n-1}$. Thus, the volume fraction of the cluster region Φ is

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \ &\cong \ \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \phi(1-\phi)^{n-1} = 1 - (1-\phi)^{\mathcal{N}}, \\ &= \ 1 - \left(\frac{\rho_{\rm c} - \rho}{\rho_{\rm c} - \rho_0}\right)^{\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\mu = -[\ln(1-\phi)/\ln\nu]$. The average cluster size is

$$\bar{\xi} \ \cong \ \frac{1}{\Phi}\sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \phi(1-\phi)^{n-1}\xi_{(n)}. \tag{17}$$

With increasing macroscopic average density ρ, $\mathcal{N}$ also becomes larger, and the contributions from the larger clusters are dominant, resulting in $\bar{\xi} \sim \xi$.

Our model shows that the length-scale diverges as $(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho)^{-2/d}$. The exponent describing this divergence is the same as the one predicted by several theoretical models [9, 18–20] and indeed has been observed in some simulations [20–23] and experiments [24, 25]. However, the basic mechanism considered here is very different: our premise is that the *local* glassy nature is simply controlled by the *local* density on average; via compression, the characteristic size of the glassy clusters increases, whereas the static properties of the density fluctuations remain almost unchanged (see Fig. 3 for schematic). We infer that strong thermodynamic anomalies and their associated intrinsic long-range correlation found in spin-glasses and critical phenomena are absent even in deeply supercooled states.

Here, we briefly consider the situation in which the glass transition point is approached by decreasing T at a fixed ρ. The two processes, increasing ρ and decreasing T, are generally related to each other [26–28]: intuitively, weakening the thermal fluctuations reduces the overlap between neighboring particles, and thus, a decrease in temperature effectively increases the density. We suppose that $\rho_{\rm c}$ depends on the temperature T and that as the temperature decreases toward $T_{\rm c}$, $\rho_{\rm c}$ is decreased. At $T = T_{\rm c}$, the average density ρ is identical to $\rho_{\rm c}$. For $T \cong T_{\rm c}$, $\rho_{\rm c}$ can be expanded as

$$\rho_{\rm c}(T) \cong \rho + \frac{\partial \rho_{\rm c}}{\partial T}(T - T_{\rm c}) \cdots, \tag{18}$$

which is expected to hold generally near the glass transition point. Therefore, Eq. (4) can be rewritten as

$$\xi \sim a'\left(\frac{T}{T - T_{\rm c}}\right)^{-2/d}, \tag{19}$$

where $a' = a[(\partial \ln \rho_{\rm c}/\partial \ln T)|_{T=T_{\rm c}}]^{2/d}$ should again be the microscopic length-scale.

---

[5] In $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(n-1)}$, a density averaged over a subsystem with linear size $\xi_{(n)}$, $\rho_{\xi_{(n)}}$, has the following distribution: $P(\rho_{\xi_{(n)}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(\rho_{\rm c} - \rho_{-}^{(n-1)})} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\delta\rho_{\xi_{(n)}}}{\rho_{\rm c} - \rho_{-}^{(n-1)}})^2]$, where $\delta\rho_{\xi_{(n)}} = \rho_{\xi_{(n)}} - \rho_{-}^{(n-1)}$ and $\rho_{-}^{(n-1)}$ is the average density in $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{(n-1)}$.



FIG. 3: (Color online) Schematic of the growing length-scale: The density $\rho(\boldsymbol{r})$ fluctuates around the average value ρ (shown as dashed lines). The cluster regions, in which the average density exceeds the threshold value $\rho_{\rm c}$ (shown as dotted lines), are represented by thick red lines. With increasing ρ (from (a) to (c)), ξ increases, whereas the static properties of the density fluctuations remain almost unchanged.

III. DISCUSSION ON THE DYNAMICS

Finally, we provide a sketch of the supercooled liquid dynamics based on the clusters. At $\rho \cong \rho_0$, where the volume fraction of the clusters is small, the structural relaxation should mainly proceed in the "normal liquid" region, where fast and almost independent particle motions are allowed to occur. However, at a higher density, for which the volume fraction of the clusters occupies a larger space, structural relaxation involving the cluster dynamics should be more dominant: Thus far, in this study, we have supposed that, in deeply supercooled states, "inside" the cluster, independent activation at the particle-scale is highly suppressed owing to topological restrictions (except for the thermal rattling motions of the particles), which implicitly assumes that the structural rearrangements should occur cooperatively and thus that the cluster lifetime is comparable to or longer than the structural relaxation time τ_α [29].

Let us consider a deeply supercooled state with a high volume fraction of clusters of typical size ξ. The average configuration of the clusters may remain unchanged for small thermal activation, whereas for sufficiently large thermal activation, the cluster configuration may be unstable and then undergo rearrangement as a cooperative event. We suppose that such a rearrangement determines the structural relaxation. For the (tagged) cluster, the restoring energy due to elastic deformation involving the surrounding "medium" can be given as $E_{\rm el} \sim G\xi\gamma^2$, where γ is the displacement amplitude, and G is the shear elastic modulus of the bulk system [6]. When the thermal activation is sufficiently large that γ is comparable to ξ ($\gamma \cong c\xi$ with c being a small factor), a transition from one cluster configuration to another may occur. For this significant rearrangement event, the activation energy is simply estimated as

$$\Delta E_{\rm el} \sim G\xi^3 \sim T\left(\frac{\xi}{\xi_0}\right)^3. \tag{20}$$

This argument is similar to the one for the model describing the activation energy of a particle jump [6, 33, 34]. However, we emphasize again that the individual particle activation should be suppressed in a deeply supercooled state due to the severe topological restrictions. Combining Eq. (20) with Eq. (4), we expect the relaxation time to diverge as

$$\tau_\alpha(\rho) \sim e^{-\kappa[\rho/(\rho_{\rm c}-\rho)]^2}. \tag{21}$$

[6] Assuming that the cluster is (transiently) immersed in an effective elastic medium, the restoring force acting on the cluster is approximately $G\xi\gamma$, where $G\xi$ is a force constant. Thus, the elastic deformation energy of the medium ($E_{\rm el}^{\rm (m)}$) is estimated to be $E_{\rm el}^{\rm (m)} \sim G\xi\gamma^2$. On the other hand, the elastic energy due to the cluster deformation ($E_{\rm el}^{\rm (c)}$) is estimated to be $E_{\rm el}^{\rm (c)} \sim G\xi^3 \times (\gamma/\xi)^2 = G\xi\gamma^2$, where γ/ξ is the typical strain in the deformed cluster. Thus, $E_{\rm el}^{\rm (m)}$ and $E_{\rm el}^{\rm (c)}$ share the same order of magnitude.

Similarly, in the case in which the temperature is decreased at fixed density, we also have

$$\tau_\alpha(T) \sim e^{-\kappa'[T/(T-T_c)]^2}. \tag{22}$$

Here, κ and κ' are numerical constants. Equations (21) and (22) exhibit stronger divergences than the standard Vogel-Fulcher-Tamman form. From Eq. (20), when ξ is $3-4$ times larger than ξ_0, the activation energy is $20-30$ times larger than that at the crossover state, resulting in an increase in τ_α by more than 10 orders of magnitude.

We note that in the literature [6, 35–38], the authors argue that the relaxation process in supercooled liquids consists of the elasticity-driven consecutive transition between inherent states and that the accumulation of many such transition events will manifest as hydrodynamic relaxation, which inspired the current argument [39].

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this study, we have constructed a phenomenological model for describing a growing length-scale near the glass transition point: We have assumed that in a subsystem whose density is above a certain threshold value, ρ_c, owing to topological restrictions, the particle rearrangements are highly suppressed and the dynamical coherence is maintained for a certain long time-period. With this assumption and without introducing any complicated thermodynamic arguments, we have predicted that upon compression (increasing the average density ρ) at a fixed temperature T in supercooled states, the characteristic length of the clusters, ξ, diverges as $\xi \sim (\rho_c - \rho)^{-2/d}$. Additionally, with decreasing T at fixed ρ, the length-scale diverges in the same manner as $\xi \sim (T - T_c)^{-2/d}$, for which, at $T = T_c$, ρ is identical to ρ_c. The exponent describing the diverging length-scale is the same as the one predicted by certain previous theoretical models [18–20], but the basic mechanism for the divergence is different. We have also provided a tentative picture for the cooperative properties of structural relaxation based on the clusters.

The standard mode coupling theory [40], in which the macroscopic average density is a control parameter, describes the kinetic-arrest at the particle scale (caging), but has no notion of growing length-scale or heterogeneity. On the other hand, in this study, we consider that the whole system is composed by subsystems and the density of each subsystem is a control variable. In our perspective, without involving any thermodynamic anomalies, the usual equilibrium density fluctuations themselves can explain the growing length-scale accompanying the glass transition.

Before closing, we note the following points. (i) In the very recent study by the present author, a simple model for shear-thinning in a high-density glassy liquid was proposed [41]: In a shear flow, due to the asymmetric shear flow effect on particles, the effective density is reduced. Because τ_α depends strongly on the density near the glass transition point, even a very small reduction in the effective density significantly accelerates the structural relaxation. In the context of the present study, this shear-induced reduction of the effective density would be accompanied with a decrease in the cluster size and thus drive the system away from the glass transition point. In some simulation studies of supercooled liquids [42, 43], it was found that the dynamic heterogeneity sizes are decreased when shear-thinning occurs, which may support our argument. (ii) In this paper, each glassy cluster has been assumed to be almost independent. However, it may be possible that the clusters percolate to form a ramified network structure at a threshold value of the cluster volume-fraction, Φ_p: for $\Phi \gtrsim \Phi_p$, the clusters are not closely packed, and thus, the formed network structure should not be rigid enough to prevent macroscopic relaxation; that is, the clusters should still be almost independent. However, for $\Phi \gg \Phi_p$, the building network structure may be sufficiently thick to freeze the macroscopic dynamics; in such a situation, the growing length-scale is not given by ξ but may be characterized, for example, by the stress correlation associated with the cluster-percolation. We will examine this speculation in future work.

The author especially thanks Professor Hajime Tanaka for his kind comments and useful discussions. The author also thanks Professor Atsushi Ikeda for useful comments. This work was supported by KAKENHI (Grant No. 26103507, No. 25000002, and No. 26400425) and the JSPS Core-to-Core Program "International research network for non-equilibrium dynamics of soft matter".

[1] M.D. Ediger, C.A. Angell, S.R. Nagel, J. Phys. Chem., **100**, 13200 (1996).
[2] H. Sillescu, J. Non-Cryst. Solids. **243**, 81 (1999).
[3] M.D. Ediger, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **51**, 99 (2000).
[4] P.G. Debenedetti and F.H. Stillinger, Nature, **410**, 259 (2001).
[5] K. Binder and W. Kob, *Glassy Materials and Disordered Solids* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2005).
[6] J.C. Dyre, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 953 (2006).
[7] L. Berthier and G. Biroli, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 587 (2011).

[8] *Dynamical Heterogeneities in Glasses, Colloids, and Granular Media* edited by L. Berthier, G. Biroli, J-P. Bouchaud, L. Cipelletti and W. van Saarloos, (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2011).
[9] H. Tanaka, Eur. Phys. J. E **35**, 113 (2012).
[10] S. Karmakar, C. Dasgupta, S. Sastry, Rep. Prog. Phys. **79**, 016601 (2015).
[11] G. Adam and J. H. Gibbs, J. Chem. Phys. **43**, 139 (1965).
[12] A.J. Dunleavy, K. Wiesner, R. Yamamoto, and C.P. Royall, Nat. Commun. **6**, 6089 (2015).
[13] Y.W. Li, Y.L. Zhu, and Z.Y. Sun, Phys. Rev. E **94**, 062601 (2016).
[14] Let us recall the slow dynamics of entangled polymers: entanglements are purely topological objects that are characterized by molecular weights and polymer concentrations but are not described through the free energy. The resultant topological constraints determine the slow structural relaxation and the dynamical correlation in an entangled polymer system [15–17]. This situation of entangled polymer dynamics reminds us that the slow dynamics is not always accompanied by thermodynamic anomalies. Actually, in the original concept of dynamic heterogeneity, it was considered that the observed correlation in the supercooled liquid dynamics does not have a thermodynamic origin. There is of course no direct relationship between these two phenomena, the supercooled liquid dynamics and the entangled polymer dynamics, but this apparent analogy tempted us to try the current approach.
[15] P.G. de Gennes, *Scaling Concepts of Polymer Physics* Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1979).
[16] M. Doi and S.F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986).
[17] F. Brochard and P.G. de Gennes, Macromolecules **10**, 1157 (1977).
[18] T.R. Kirkpatrick, D. Thirumalai, and P.G. Wolynes, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 1045 (1989).
[19] V. Lubchenko and P.G. Wolynes, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **58**, 235 (2007).
[20] H. Tanaka, T. Kawasaki, H. Shintani, and K. Watanabe, Nature Materials **9**, 324 (2010).
[21] A. Malins, J. Eggers, H. Tanaka, and C.P. Royall, Faraday Discuss. **405**, 167 (2013).
[22] M. Mosayebi, E. Del Gado, P. Ilg, and H.C. Öttinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 205704 (2010).
[23] M. Mosayebi, E. Del Gado, P. Ilg, and H.C. Öttinger, J. Chem. Phys. **137** 024504 (2012).
[24] N.B. Weingartner, R. Soklaski, K.F. Kelton, and Z. Nussinov, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 214201 (2016).
[25] C. Zhang, N. Gnan, T.G. Mason, E. Zaccarelli, and F. Scheffold, J. Stat. Mech. 094003 (2016).
[26] C. Alba-Simionesco, A. Cailliaux, A. Alegría, and G. Tarjus, Europhys. Lett. **68**, 58 (2004).
[27] C.M. Roland, S. Hensel-Bielowka, M. Paluch, and R. Casalini, Rep. Prog. Phys. **68**, 1405 (2005).
[28] U.R. Pedersen, T.B. Schrøder, and J.C. Dyre, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 157801 (2010).
[29] In (fragile) glass-formers, the generation and relaxation of density fluctuations occur very slowly via diffusion [30–32]. In supercooled states with significant numbers of glassy clusters, there may be two possible mechanisms for the density relaxations. One is normal diffusion: a higher-density (frozen) state inside the cluster relaxes via particle-exchange through the cluster surface, which occurs when a sufficiently low density region reaches the cluster's neighborhood. The other is cooperative diffusion: relaxation of density fluctuations occurs as cooperative rearrangements of the clusters. Our previous simulations [30–32] indicated that the latter scenario is more likely.
[30] A. Furukawa and H. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. E **86**, 030501(R) (2012).
[31] A. Furukawa, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 062321 (2013).
[32] A. Furukawa and H. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. E **94**, 052607 (2016).
[33] R.W. Hall and P.G. Wolyness, J. Chem. Phys. **86**, 2934 (1987).
[34] U. Buchenau and R. Zorn, Europhys. Lett. **18**, 523 (1992).
[35] M. Goldstein, J. Chem. Phys. **51**, 3728 (1969).
[36] J.C. Dyre, T. Christensen, and N.B. Olsen, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **352**, 4635 (2006).
[37] A. Lemaître, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 245702 (2014).
[38] A. Lemaître, J. Chem. Phys. **143**, 164515 (2015).
[39] The relaxation mechanism discussed here is not consistent with one previously proposed by the present author in Ref. [31], which is different from cooperative activation.
[40] W. Götze, *Complex Dynamics of Glass-Forming Liquids: A Mode-Coupling Theory* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009).
[41] A. Furukawa, Phys. Rev. E **95**, 012613 (2017).
[42] R. Yamamoto and A. Onuki, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 3515 (1998).
[43] H. Mizuno and R. Yamamoto, J. Chem. Phys. **136**, 084505 (2012).